

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/680,330	10/07/2003	Gregory C. Franke	200302308-2	5427	
7:	590 11/08/2004	EXAMINER			
Intellectual Property Administration			SAKRAN, VICTOR N		
Legal Departme	ent, M/S 35				
P.O. BOX 2724	100	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Ft. Collins, CC	80527-2400		3677		
			DATE MAILED: 11/08/200-	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		10/680	330	FRANKE ET AL.	ε			
		Examin	er	Art Unit				
			R N SAKRAN	3677				
<i>Th</i> Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this commun ply	nication appears on t	he cover sheet with	the correspondence addre	ess			
THE MAIL - Extensions after SIX (6) - If the period - If NO period - Failure to re Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD F LING DATE OF THIS COMMUN of time may be available under the provisions MONTHS from the mailing date of this common of for reply specified above, it is stan thirty (it of for reply is specified above, the maximum is perply within the set or extended period for reply exercised by the Office later than three months and term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. 30) days, a reply within the s tatutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a reply tatutory minimum of thirty (3 will expire SIX (6) MONTH pplication to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this comm DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠ Res	ponsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>20 August 20</i> 6	<u>04</u> .					
2a)⊠ This	action is FINAL.	2b) ☐ This action is	non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition o	of Claims							
4a) 0 5)⊠ Clai 6)⊠ Clai 7)⊡ Clai	m(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the Of the above claim(s) <u>1-9 and 1</u> ; m(s) <u>10-12</u> is/are allowed. m(s) <u>26-33</u> is/are rejected. m(s) is/are objected to. m(s) are subject to restricts	<u>3-25</u> is/are withdraw		n.				
Application P	Papers							
9) The	specification is objected to by th	ie Examiner.						
10)⊠ The	drawing(s) filed on <u>07 October 2</u>	<u>2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ ad	cepted or b) dobje	ected to by the Examiner.				
Appl	icant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
•	acement drawing sheet(s) including oath or declaration is objected t	-	-	•	` '			
Priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	nowledgment is made of a claim b)	documents have be documents have be of the priority docur onal Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in App nents have been re ule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Sta	age			
Attachment(s)								
	eferences Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Sum	nmary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of D 3) Information	raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/N	fail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)			

Art Unit: 3677

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 26-33, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blomquist U.S. Patent No. 6,061,239 in view of Lee U.S. Patent No. 6,108,207 (both are of record).

Blomquist discloses Applicant's claimed combination of a retaining clip for a heat sink (20), said retainer clip (30,31) comprising a main body (40), a pair of legs

Art Unit: 3677

(42,43), each of said legs is provided with a connector members (tabs) (50,51) for engaging the main body to a bottom wall (12) and for securing the heat sink to said bottom wall and a cam latch-type rotatable arm extending outwardly from the clip body (40) and adapted to be rotated between a locked position and an unlocked position such that the locked position causing a securing force to be applied to the heat sink component which is disposed between the clip and the bottom wall and for disengaging the heat sink the rotatable arm will be rotated to the unlocked position causing the connector members (tabs) (50,51) to be released from the bottom wall (12) in order to disengage the heat sink; see Figures 2, 5, 8-10; the abstract; column 4, lines 35-42, 60-65, claims 1 and 2, except that the reference to Blomquist does not discloses the an additional removal arm extending outwardly from the clip and adapted to be pinched in order to disengage the tabs while the rotatable arm in the unlocked position. Lee discloses an integrally formed retainer clip for retaining a heat sink to a main body comprising a disengaging arm (17) extending outwardly from its clip (10) including a squeezing portion (16) which is disposed in such a way that when a pinching force is applied thereon the engaging leg (18) will be disengaged from its main body (40) and that will also disengage its heat sink (20) from its main body; see Figures 1-4; column 4, lines 21-31, and claim 1. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the retainer clip in Blomquist with an additional removal arm and to be extending outwardly from its clip in such a way that when a pinching force

Art Unit: 3677

applied thereon and its rotatable arm rotated to the unlocked position its connector members (tabs) (50,51) will be disengaged from its main body for disengaging its heat sink in the manner taught, disclosed and suggested by Lee, especially, since such modification involves only routine skill in the art.

Furthermore, Applicant is reminded that in considering the disclosure of a reference, it is proper to take into account not only specific teaching of the reference but also the inferences which one skilled in the art would reasonably be expected to draw therefrom; see In re Preda, 401 F2d 825, 826, 159 USPQ 342,344 (CCPA1968).

Furthermore, to have the retainer clip of Blomquist integrally formed with the additional arm in the manner taught, and suggested by Lee, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, especially, since it has been held that forming in one piece an article which has formerly been formed in two pieces and put together involves only routine skill in the art; see Howard v. Detroit Stove Works, 150 U. S. 164 (1893).

Claims 10-12, are allowable over the prior art of record.

Claims 1-9 and 13-25, have been canceled.

Art Unit: 3677

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant's attention is directed to the art of record, as showing structure related to Applicant's disclosed invention.

Page 5

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed August 20, 2004 with respect to claims 26-33, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Since the reference to Blomquist discloses a retaining clip for a heat sink, the retainer clip including a main body, a pair of legs, each of said legs is provided with a connector members for engaging the main body to a bottom wall and for securing the heat sink to said bottom wall and a rotatable arm extending outwardly from substantially the center of the clip body and adapted to be rotated between a locked position and an unlocked position such that the locked position causing a securing force to be applied to the heat sink component which is disposed between the clip and the bottom wall and for disengaging the heat sink the rotatable arm will be rotated to the unlocked position causing the connector members to be released from the bottom wall in order to disengage the heat sink, and the secondary reference to Lee clearly teaches the use of an integrally formed retainer clip for retaining a heat sink to a main body comprising a disengaging arm extending outwardly from its clip and a squeezing portion which is disposed in such a way that when a

Art Unit: 3677

pinching force is applied thereon the engaging leg will be disengaged from its main body and that will also disengage its heat sink from its main body.

In response to the court decisions cited on pages 6, 7, and 10, of Applicant's remarks have been noted, but are not considered to set forth any doctrine which would be applicable to negate the rejection. The cited court decisions are only a few of the many decisions that the Office follows when considering the patentability of the claims.

In response to applicant's argument that there is no motivation to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992).

Furthermore, in an obviousness assessment, skill is presumed, on the part of the artisan, rather than the lack thereof. See In Re Sovish, 769 f. 2d 738, USPQ 771 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

Art Unit: 3677

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to VICTOR N SAKRAN whose telephone number is 703-308-2224. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. J. swann can be reached on 703-308-4115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/680,330 Page 8

Art Unit: 3677

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

November 1, 2004

VICTOR N SAKRAN Primary Examiner Art Unit 3677